## Interview Summary

Application No. 09/099,307

icant(s)ارح

ERTL et al.

Examiner

**Christine Saoud** 

Group Art Unit 1647



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>Chr</u>	istine Saoud		
(2) <u>Mar</u>	thew Latimer		
Date of	Interview Sep 13, 2000	<del></del>	
Type: 🛮 Telephonic 🗆 Personal (copy is given to 🗀 applicant 🗀 applicant's representative).			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:   Yes  No. If yes, brief description:			
Agreement  was reached.  was not reached.			
Claim(s) discussed: 59 and 63-66			
Identification of prior art discussed:  none			
polypep	er. Claims 63-66 recite "prolonged", but this term otide.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
1.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.  Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.			
2.	Since the Examiner's interview summary above each of the objections, rejections and requirementalisms are now allowable, this completed form is Office action. Applicant is not relieved from profis also checked.	(including any attachments) reflects a control of the last Office of t	complete response to ce action, and since the irements of the last w unless box 1 above CHRISTINE SACUED PATENT EXAMINER
		Christine S.	aoud
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.			